



**SUPREME COURT OF CALIFORNIA
ORAL ARGUMENT CALENDAR
SACRAMENTO SESSION
NOVEMBER 5 AND 6, 2013**

The following cases are placed upon the calendar of the Supreme Court for oral argument at its courtroom in the Stanley Mosk Library and Courts Building, 914 Capitol Mall, Sacramento, California, on November 5 and 6, 2013.

TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 5, 2013—2:00 P.M.

- (1) S207250 People v. Biane (Paul Antoine) et al. (*and consolidated cases*)
- (2) S105097 People v. Duff (Dewey Joe) [Automatic Appeal]
(*Cantil-Sakauye, C.J., not participating; McConnell, A.P.J., assigned justice pro tempore*)

WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 6, 2013—9:00 A.M.

- (3) S196374 In Re Stephen Randall Glass on Admission
(*Liu, J., not participating; Mosk, J., assigned justice pro tempore*)
- (4) S205876 Assessor for County of Santa Barbara v. Assessment Appeals Board No. 1 (Rancho Goleta Lakeside Mobileers, Inc., et al., Real Parties in Interest) (*Baxter, J., not participating; McIntyre, J., assigned justice pro tempore*)
- (5) S202210 Donkin (Annemarie) et al. v. Donkin, Jr. (Rodney E.) et al.

CANTIL-SAKAUYE
Chief Justice

If exhibits are to be transmitted to this court, counsel must apply to the court for permission. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.224(c).)ac

**SUPREME COURT OF CALIFORNIA
ORAL ARGUMENT CALENDAR
SACRAMENTO SESSION
NOVEMBER 5 AND 6, 2013**

The following case summaries are issued to inform the public about cases that the California Supreme Court has scheduled for oral argument and of their general subject matter. In most instances, the descriptions set out below are reproduced from the original news release issued when review in each of these matters was granted and are provided for the convenience of the public. The descriptions do not necessarily reflect the view of the court or define the specific issues that will be addressed by the court.

TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 5, 2013—2:00 P.M.

(1) ***People v. Biane (Paul Antoine) et al., S207250 (and consolidated cases)***

#13-16 *People v. Biane (Paul Antoine) et al., S207250 (and consolidated cases)*.

(E054422, E054735, E054737, E054738; nonpublished opinion; Superior Court of San Bernardino County; FSB1102102.) Petition for review after the Court of Appeal affirmed in part and reversed in part orders in a criminal proceeding and granted in part petitions for peremptory writ of mandate. This case includes the following issue: Can a defendant be charged with both offering a bribe to another person and aiding and abetting the receipt of the bribe by that person?

(2) ***People v. Duff (Dewey Joe), S105097 [Automatic Appeal] (Cantil-Sakauye, C.J., not participating; McConnell, A.P.J., assigned justice pro tempore)***

This matter is an automatic appeal from a judgment of death.

WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 6, 2013—9:00 A.M.

(3) ***In re Stephen Randall Glass on Admission, S196374 (Liu, J., not participating; Mosk, J., assigned justice pro tempore)***

#11-129 *In re Stephen Randall Glass on Admission, S196374*. (Unpublished order; State Bar Ct. No. 09-M-11736.) Petition for writ of review after a State Bar Court recommendation for admission to the State Bar of California. This case presents the

following issue: Considering the applicant's extensive misconduct, is there sufficient evidence of rehabilitation to support the State Bar Court recommendation that he be admitted to the practice of law?

(4) *Assessor for County of Santa Barbara v. Assessment Appeals Board No. 1 (Rancho Goleta Lakeside Mobileers, Inc., et al., Real Parties in Interest), S205876 (Baxter, J., not participating; McIntyre, J., assigned justice pro tempore)*

#12-117 Assessor for County of Santa Barbara v. Assessment Appeals Board No. 1 (Rancho Goleta Lakeside Mobileers, Inc., et al., Real Parties in Interest), S205876. (B229656; 208 Cal.App.4th 1412; Superior Court of Santa Barbara County; 01244457.) Petition for review after the Court of Appeal affirmed the judgment in action for writ of administrative mandate. This case presents the following issue: Under Revenue and Taxation Code section 62.1, what is the proper method for determining the assessed value of the real property interest in a mobilehome park after a transfer of a membership interest in the nonprofit corporation that owns the park?

(5) *Donkin (Annemarie) et al. v. Donkin Jr. (Rodney E.) et al., S202210*

#12-65 Donkin (Annemarie) et al. v. Donkin Jr. (Rodney E.) et al., S202210. (B228704; 204 Cal.App.4th 622; Superior Court of Los Angeles County; BP109463.) Petition for review after the Court of Appeal affirmed in part and reversed in part the judgment in a probate proceeding. This case presents the following issues: Did any of the proposed challenges to the disposition of the trust at issue in this case trigger the trust's no contest clause? Can a challenge that is founded on an alleged breach of fiduciary duty by a trustee violate a no contest clause?